Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/880,887	NEGRIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636	

All Participants:

(1) *Sanya Sukduang*.

(2) *Quang Nguyen*.

Status of Application: *RCE*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *10 May 2004*

Time: *Around 11 am*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner contacted Mr. Sukduang on 5/10/04 to suggest an informal amendment to the specification as it appears in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in condition for allowance. Mr. Sukduang accepted the proposed amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)